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The ultimate goal of endodontic treatment is the long-term retention in function of teeth with pulpal or periapical pathosis. Depending on the diagnosis, this therapy typically involves the preparation and obturation of all root canals. Both steps are critical to an optimal long-term outcome. This article is intended to update clinicians on the current understanding of best practices in the two pillars of non-surgical endodontics, canal preparation and obturation, and to highlight strategies for decision making in both uncomplicated and more difficult endodontic cases.

Prior to initiating therapy, a clinician must establish a diagnosis, take a thorough patient history and conduct clinical tests. Recently, judicious use of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) has augmented the clinically available imaging modalities. Verifying the mental image of canal anatomy goes a long way to promote success in canal preparation. For example, a missed canal is frequently associated with endodontic failures.1

As most maxillary molars have two canals in the mesiobuccal root, case referral to an endodontist for microscope-supported treatment should be considered. Endodontists are increasingly using CBCT and the operating microscope to diagnose and treat anatomically challenging teeth, such as those with unusual root anatomies, congenital variants or iatrogenic alteration. The endodontic specialist, using appropriate strategies, can achieve good outcomes even in cases with significant challenges (Fig. 1).

Preparation of the endodontic space

The goal of canal preparation is to provide adequate access for disinfecting solutions without making major preparation errors, such as perforations, canal transportations, instrument fractures or unnecessary removal of tooth structure. The introduction of nickel-titanium (NiTi) instruments to endodontics almost two decades ago2 has resulted in dramatic improvements for successful canal preparation for generalists and specialists. Today, there are more than 50 canal preparation systems; however, not every instrument system is suitable for every clinician and not all cases lend themselves to rotary preparation.

Several key factors have added versatility in this regard, for example, the emergence of special designs such as orifice shapers and mechanised glide path files. Another recent development is the application of heat treatment to NiTi alloy, both before and after the file is manufactured. Deeper knowledge of metallurgical properties is desirable for clinicians who want to capitalise on these new alloys. Finally, more recent strategies such as minimally-invasive endodontics have emerged.3

Basic nickel–titanium metallurgy

What makes NiTi so special? It is highly resistant to corrosion and, more importantly, it is highly elastic and fracture-resistant. NiTi exists reversibly in two conformations, martensite and austenite, depending on external tension and ambient temperature. While steel allows 3% elastic deformation, NiTi in the austenitic form can withstand deformations of up to 7% without permanent damage or plastic deformation.4 Knowing this is critical for rotary endodontic instruments for two reasons. First, during preparation of curved canals, forces between the canal wall and...
abrating instruments are smaller with more elastic instruments, hence less preparation errors are likely to occur.

Second, rotation in curved canals will bend instruments once per rotation, which ultimately will lead to work hardening and brittle fracture, also known as cyclic fatigue. Steel can withstand up to 20 complete bending cycles, while NiTi can endure up to 1,000 cycles.4

Recently manufacturers have learned to produce NiTi instruments that are in the martensitic state and even more flexible than previous files. Figure 2 shows how instrument conditions (austenite vs. martensite) are determined in the testing laboratory, using prescribed heating and cooling cycles.5 Heat-treated files with high martensite content typically do not have a silver metallic shade but are coloured due to an oxide layer, such as gold or blue.

It is important to note that CM files frequently deform; however, with a delicate touch, cutting is adequate and often even superior to conventional NiTi instruments.6 It is imperative for clinicians to retrain themselves prior to using these new instruments to avoid excessive deformation and subsequent instrument fracture.

Preparation strategies

Experimental and clinical evidence suggests that the use of NiTi instruments combined with rotary movement results in improved preparation quality. Specifically, the incidence of gross preparation errors is greatly reduced.7 Canals with wide oval or ribbon-shaped cross-sections present difficulties for rotary instruments and strategies such as circumferential filing and ultrasonics should be used in those canals.

Studies found that oscillating instruments recommended for these canal types did not perform as well,4 particularly in curved canals. Specific instruments developed to address these challenges include the Self-Adjusting File (SAF) System (ReDent NOVA), TRUShape (Dentsply Sirona) and XP Endo (Brasseler). However, there is no direct clinical evidence that these instruments lead to better outcomes.

Canal transportation with contemporary NiTi rotaries, measured as undesirable changes of the canal centre seen in cross-sections of natural teeth, is usually very small. This indicates that canal walls are not excessively thinned and apical canal paths are only minimally straightened (Fig. 1), even when preparing curved root canals. While preparation usually removes dentine somewhat preferentially toward the outside of the curvature,8 current NiTi instruments, including reciprocating files, can enlarge the canal path safely while minimising procedural errors.

Almost all current rotaries are non-landed, meaning they have sharp cutting edges, and they can be used in lateral action toward a specific point on the perimeter. This ‘brushing’ action allows the clinician to actively change canal paths away from the furcation in the coronal and middle thirds of the root canal10 but may create apical canal straightening when taken beyond the apical constriction. Circumferential engagement of canal walls by active instruments may lead to a threading-in effect, but contemporary rotaries are designed with variable pitch and helical angle to counteract this tendency.

An important design element for all contemporary rotaries is a passive, non-cutting tip that guides the cutting planes to allow for more evenly distributed dentine removal. Rotaries with cutting, active tips such as dedicated retreatment files should be used with caution to avoid preparation errors.

NiTi instrument usage

As a general rule, flexible instruments are not very resistant to torsional load but are resistant to cyclic fatigue. Conversely, more rigid files can withstand more torque but are susceptible to fatigue. The greater the amount and the more peripheral the distribution of metal in the cross section, the stiffer the file.11 Therefore, a file with greater taper and larger diameter is more susceptible to fatigue failure; moreover, a canal curvature that is more coronal is more vulnerable to file fracture.
Instrument handling has been shown to be associated with file fracture. For example, a lower rotational speed (~250 rpm) results in delayed build-up of fatigue and reduced incidence of taper lock. Material imperfections such as microfractures and milling marks are believed to act as fracture initiation sites. Such surface imperfections after manufacturing can be removed by electropolishing but it is unclear if this process extends fatigue life.

Manufacturers’ recommendations stress that rotaries should be advanced with very light pressure; however, the recommendations differ with regard to the way the instruments are moved. A typical recommendation is to move the instrument into the canal gently in an in-and-out motion for three to four cycles, directed away from the furcation, then withdraw to clean the flutes.

It is difficult to determine exactly the apically exerted force in the clinical setting; experiments have suggested that forces start at about 1 Newton (N) and range up to 5 N. Precise torque limits have been discussed as a means to reduce failure. Most clinicians use torque-controlled motors, which are based on presetting a maximum current for a DC electric motor.

To reduce friction, manufacturers often recommend the use of gel-based lubricants in dentine; however, such lubricants have not been shown to be beneficial and actually did increase torque for radially-landed ProFile instruments. Therefore, it is recommended to flood the canal system with sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) during the use of rotaries. The best way to do this is to create an access cavity that can act as a reservoir (Fig. 3).

In consequence, a smaller coronal dimension of rotaries is considered while maintaining apical sizes to support antimicrobial efficacy. There currently is no direct clinical evidence to support this strategy but it is clear that root fractures pose problems in the long-term outcomes of our patients. Another recent development is the emergence of certain specialised rotaries, such as dedicated orifice shapers and so-called glide path files. The orifice shapers have larger tapers, such as .08, which means that they are not flexible and can overprepare at the canal orifice level. Glide path files, for example PathFiles and ProGlider (Dentsply Sirona), are delicate instruments and may fracture when used incorrectly. It is recommended to use a small K-File (size #10) before any rotary instrumentation and to use a delicate touch.

Clinical results

While results from in vitro studies on rotary systems are abundant, clinical studies on these instruments are sparse. Comparing NiTi and stainless steel K-Files, Pettiette et al. found less canal transportation and fewer gross preparation errors such as strip perforations. Subsequently, using radiographic evaluation of the same patient group, they demonstrated better healing in the NiTi group. An earlier outcome study with three rotary preparation paradigms did not show any difference between the three systems with an overall favourable outcome rate of about 87%.

The most consistent clinical results are obtained when the manufacturer’s directions are followed.
While these vary by instrument, a set of common rules applies to root canal preparation. Root canal systems are best prepared in the following sequence:

- Analysis of the specific anatomy of the case.
- Canal scouting.
- Coronal modifications.
- Negotiation to patency.
- Determination of working length.
- Glide path preparation.
- Root canal shaping to desired size.
- Gauging the foramen, apical adjustment.

Obturation of the endodontic space

A well-shaped and cleaned canal system should create the conditions for intact periapical tissues. On the other hand, this root canal system is inaccessible to the body’s immune system and therefore it cannot combat coronal leakage. Accordingly, best practices dictate that root canals should be filled as completely as possible to prevent ingress of nutrients or oral microorganism. None of the established techniques for root canal filling provides a definitive coronal, lateral and apical seal.24

Basic strategies in root canal obturation

Ideally, root canal fillings should seal all foramina leading to the periodontium, be without voids, adapt to the instrumented canal walls and end at working length. There are various acceptable materials and techniques to obturate root canal systems, including:

- Sealer (cement/paste/resin) only.
- Sealer and a single cone of a stiff or flexible core material.
- Sealer coating combined with cold compaction of core materials.
- Sealer coating combined with warm compaction of core materials.
- Sealer coating combined with carrier-based core materials.

Several of these techniques have shown comparable success rates regarding apical bone fill or healing of periradicular lesions, so a clinician may choose from a variety of techniques and approaches that works best for him or her. Existing research directs clinicians toward preparation and disinfection of the root canal as the single most important factor in the treatment of endodontic pathosis, and no particular sealing technique can claim superior healing success.25

Current developments in root canal obturation materials

After the introduction of mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) as a material for perforation repair and apical surgery more than two decades ago, materials with similar bioactive properties now are available as root canal sealers. Bioceramic root canal cement (BC Sealer, Brasseler) has clinically acceptable radiopacity and flow.26 Moreover, it is well-tolerated in cell culture experiments.27 However, there is no clinical evidence that using this cement leads to better outcomes. In fact, most research has indicated the type of cement used has comparatively little impact.28

In contemporary practice, heat generators are used to plasticise gutta-percha. Additionally, cordless heating devices are available. Another recent addition is a carrier-based material, GuttaCore (Dentsply Sirona), which uses modified gutta-percha materials instead of plastic as its base. Early data indicate that obturation with this new material is similar to warm vertical compaction or lateral compaction.29
Practical aspects of obturation

The main steps in the sequence of root canal obturation are:

· Choosing a technique and timing the obturation.
· Selecting master cones.
· Canal drying, sealer application.
· Filling the apical portion (lateral and vertical compaction).
· Completing the fill.
· Assessing the quality of the fill.

The root canal system should be assessed before choosing an obturation technique. In the presence of open apices or procedural errors, such as apical zipping and also for teeth with apices in close proximity to the mandibular canal, there is significant potential for overfills. In order to avoid such mishaps, these cases may be better obturated with cold lateral condensation to avoid overfilling, or in some cases, MTA may be placed as a barrier.

In general, canals should be filled only when there are no symptoms of acute apical periodontitis or an apical abscess, such as significant pain on percussion or not dryable due to secretion into the canal. Gutta-percha cones first should be disinfected by submerging them in an NaOCl solution for about 60 seconds.

In addition to a solid filler such as gutta-percha, a sealer or cement should be used. Most sealers are toxic in the freshly mixed state, but this toxicity is reduced after setting. When in contact with tissues and tissue fluids, zinc oxide eugenol-based sealers are absorbable, while resin-based materials typically are not absorbed. Some by-products of sealers may adversely affect and delay healing. Therefore, sealers should not be routinely extruded into the periapical tissues.

The appropriate amount of sealer is then deposited into the canal system. This may be done using a lentulo spiral, a K-File or the master cones themselves; each method is acceptable, provided that an appropriate amount of sealer is deposited. If the master cones are the carrier for the sealer, they should be removed and inspected for a complete coating with sealer and then replaced in the canal.

The root canal system should be assessed before choosing an obturation technique. In the presence of open apices or procedural errors, such as apical zipping and also for teeth with apices in close proximity to the mandibular canal, there is significant potential for overfills. In order to avoid such mishaps, these cases may be better obturated with cold lateral condensation to avoid overfilling, or in some cases, MTA may be placed as a barrier.

The space created by the spreader is filled by inserting a small, lightly sealer-coated accessory gutta-percha cone. Using auxiliary cones larger than the taper of the spreader will produce voids or sealer pools in the filling and should be avoided. The procedure is repeated by inserting several gutta-percha cones until the entire canal is filled.

For vertical compaction, electrically heated pluggers are used to melt a master cone fitted to length. Tapered gutta-percha cones optimise the hydraulic forces that arise during compaction of softened gutta-percha with pluggers of a similar taper. After fitting the master cone as before, different hand pluggers and heated pluggers are placed into the root canal to verify a fit to within 5 to 7 mm of the apical constriction.

For both lateral and vertical compaction the gutta-percha mass in each canal should end about 1 mm below the pulpal floor, leaving a small dimple. In cases where placement of a post is planned, gutta-percha is confined to the apical 5 mm. All root canals that do not receive a post may be protected with an orifice barrier (Fig. 3) to protect from leakage prior to placement of a definitive restoration. This has been shown to promote healing of apical periodontitis. Materials that are suitable for such a barrier include light-curving glass ionomers, flowable composites or fissure sealants. In order to facilitate retreatment if necessary, such a barrier should be thin so that the gutta-percha fill is just visible.
Radiographic appearance of filled root canal systems

Prepared and filled canals should demonstrate a homogenous radiopaque appearance, free of voids and filled to working length. The fill should approximate canal walls and extend as much as possible into canal irregularities such as an isthmus or a c-shaped canal system. This is difficult to achieve clinically and frequently requires the clinician to use a thermoplastic obturation technique. This complicated procedure may benefit from the use of the dental operating microscope.

Other anatomical spaces that may be filled include accessory canals that are most common in the apical root third (Fig. 3, mesial and distal root) but may be found in other locations such as the furcation. It has been well established that accessory anatomy may contribute to periapical periodontitis but clinical experience suggests the role of accessory anatomy in causing bone resorption is comparatively small. Indeed, it appears that filling accessory canals is not predictable and not per se a prerequisite for success.

In order to avoid overextension of root filling material into the periapical tissue, specifically in the mandibular canal, it is recommended to accurately determine working length to prevent destruction of the apical constriction. For infected root canal systems, it seems that the best healing results are achieved when the working length is slightly short of the tip of the root, as visible on a radiograph.

Determination of apical canal anatomy is often difficult. It may be appropriate for second mandibular molars that are in close proximity to the mandibular canal to be referred to a specialist. Overfills are not only an impediment to healing but in the worst case can be associated with permanent nerve damage. In general, undesirable and uncorrectable outcomes of root canal treatment, identifiable on the final radiograph, include:

- Excessive dentine removal during access and instrumentation.
- Preparation errors such as perforation, ledge formation and apical zipping.
- Presence of an instrument fragment in not fully disinfected canals.
- Obturation material overfill and overextension.

Each of these outcomes must be documented and the patient notified as they may reduce the likelihood of a successful outcome. In cases such as paresthesia or dysesthesia after an overfill, immediate referral to a surgeon is indicated.

Summary and conclusions

Root canal preparation with contemporary instruments is a predictable procedure in most cases for a well-trained clinician following established guidelines. Cases with a recognised high degree of difficulty are best referred to an endodontist. While many cases can be treated successfully in routine practice, the additional training, expertise and technology of endodontists is necessary in cases that are beyond the typical spectrum. The best long-term outcomes are obtained when a correctly planned final restoration is placed as soon as possible after root canal treatment is completed (Fig. 4).

Root canals may be filled through various methods, typically using a combination of a cement and a solid filling material such as gutta-percha. The specific obturation material used appears to have a smaller role on outcomes. Overfills, particularly into the area of the inferior alveolar nerve, have the potential to permanently harm a patient. The absence of gross errors that are associated with persistent presence of bacterial infection and excessive dentine removal during access and canal preparation have the greatest impact on outcomes._
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